1	Tina Van Dam (State Bar No. 209732) tvandam@mgmlaw.com			
2	Mary Katherine Back (State Bar No. 234021) mback@mgmlaw.com			
3	MANNING GROSS + MASSENBURG LLP 201 Spear Street, 18th Floor			
4	San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel.: 415 512 4381			
5	Fax: 415 512 6791			
6	Attorneys for Defendant RICOH USA, Inc. (erroneously sued and served as "RICOH USA, INC. (individually and			
7	as successor-in-interest to SAVIN CORPORA COMPANY, LTD.)")	TION and as general manager of RICOH		
8				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
11				
12	GARY FARRIS and MELVA FARRIS,	Case No. 3:18-cv-04186-JST		
13	Plaintiffs,	Assigned to Judge Jon S. Tigar		
14	VS.			
15	3M COMPANY f/k/a MINNESOTA MINING	STIPULATION THAT ANSWER BY RICOH USA, INC. TO PLAINTIFFS'		
16	& MANUFACTURING COMPANY, et al.	ORIGINAL COMPLAINT BE DEEMED ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST		
17	Defendants.	AMENDED COMPLAINT; [PROPOSED] ORDER		
18				
19	Plaintiffs Gary and Melva Farris ("Plaintiffs") and RICOH USA, Inc. (erroneously sued			
20	and served as "RICOH USA, INC. (individually and as successor-in-interest to SAVIN			
21	CORPORATION and as general manager of RICOH COMPANY, LTD.)") ("RICOH USA,			
22	Inc."), hereby stipulate, as follows:			
23	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiffs and RICOH USA, Inc. through			
24	their respective attorneys, that RICOH USA, Inc.'s answer to Plaintiffs' original Complaint herein			
25	be deemed RICOH USA, Inc.'s answer to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint.			
26	///			
27	///			
28	///	4 0 40 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
	STIPULATION THAT ANSWER TO ORIGINAL COMPLAINT BE DEEMED RICOH USA, INC.'S ANS			
	TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT; [PROPOSED] ORDER			

1	All new matters are deemed controverted.	
2		
3	DATED: October 15, 2018	LAW OFFICES OF H.W. TREY JONES
4		
5	F	By: /s/ Trey Jones Trey Jones
6		Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
7		Gary Farris and Melva Farris
8	DATED: October 15, 2018	MANNING GROSS + MASSENBURG LLP
9		
10	F	By: /s/ Mary Katherine Back
11		Mary Katherine Back Attorneys for Defendant,
12		Defendant RICOH USA, Inc. (erroneously sued as Ricoh Company, LTD, individually and as
13		successor-in-interest to Savin Corporation)
14		
15		
	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT	IS SO ORDERED.
16		
17	Dated: October 16, 2018	And Jegan
18		Hon. Jon S. Tigar
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		2 3:18-cv-04186-JST
	STIPULATION THAT ANSWER TO OR	RIGINAL COMPLAINT BE DEEMED RICOH USA, INC.'S ANSWI